# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

Tomeco Reed, : Civil Action No.: 1:11-cv-733

Plaintiff,

v.

Penn Credit Corporation, : COMPLAINT

: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

:

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Tomeco Reed, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70 et seq., by the Defendant and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
  - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

#### **PARTIES**

4. The Plaintiff, Tomeco Reed ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Durham, North Carolina, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

5. Defendant Penn Credit Corporation ("Penn"), is a business entity with an address of 916 South 14th Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17104, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

### **ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS**

### A. The Debt

- 6. The Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation in the approximate amount of \$100.00 (the "Debt") to Verizon (the "Creditor").
- 7. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 8. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Penn for collection, or Penn was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 9. The Defendant attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

# B. Penn Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

- 10. Defendant placed up to three calls a day to Plaintiff's residential phone line concerning her account with Verizon.
- 11. Representatives for Defendant identified the name of the debt collection agency as Frontier.
  - 12. Defendant called Plaintiff after 9:00 pm.
  - 13. Plaintiff repeatedly asked Defendant to send her verification of the Debt.

- 14. Defendant refused and stated that the letter had been sent to Plaintiff.
- 15. Defendants failed to inform Plaintiff of her rights under the state and federal laws by written correspondence within 5 days after the initial communication, including the right to dispute the Debt.

## C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 16. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendant's unlawful conduct.
- 17. As a direct consequence of the Defendant's acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from anger and frustration.

# **COUNT I**VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

- 18. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 19. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(1) in that Defendant contacted the Plaintiff at a place and during a time known to be inconvenient for the Plaintiff.
- 20. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(1) in that Defendant contacted the Plaintiff after 9:00 p.m.
- 21. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendant caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass.
- 22. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(6) in that Defendant placed calls to the Plaintiff without disclosing the identity of the debt collection agency.

- 23. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(14) in that Defendant used a name other than the true name of the debt collection agency.
- 24. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1) in that Defendant failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the amount of the Debt.
- 25. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(2) in that Defendant failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the name of the original creditor to whom the Debt was owed.
- 26. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(3) in that Defendant failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the Plaintiff's right to dispute the Debt within thirty days.
- 27. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4) in that Defendant failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice informing the Plaintiff of a right to have verification and judgment mailed to the Plaintiff.
- 28. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(5) in that Defendant failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice containing the name and address of the original creditor.
- 29. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendant constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
  - 30. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's violations.

# VIOLATION OF THE NORTH CAROLINA COLLECTION AGENCY ACT, N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70, et seq.

- 31. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
  - 32. The Plaintiff is a "consumer" as the term is defined by N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-90.
- 33. The Plaintiff allegedly incurred a "debt" as the term is defined by N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-90.
- 34. The Defendant is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-90.
- 35. The Defendant's conduct violated N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-110 in that Defendant caused the telephone to ring at times known to be times other than normal waking hours of the person.
- 36. The foregoing acts of the Defendant constitute violations of the North Carolina Collection Agency Act, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
  - 37. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's violations.

# VIOLATION OF THE NORTH CAROLINA UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES ACT, N.C. Gen.Stat. § 75-1.1, et seq.

- 38. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 39. The Defendant's unfair or deceptive acts to collect the Debt occurred in commerce, in violation of N.C. Gen.Stat. § 75-1.1.

- 40. The Plaintiff suffered mental anguish and other damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 41. Defendant's failure to comply with these provisions constitutes an unfair or deceptive act under N.C. Gen.Stat. § 75-1.1 and, as such, the Plaintiff is entitled to damages plus reasonable attorney's fees.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

- 1. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against Defendant;
- 2. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. \$1692k(a)(2)(A) against Defendant;
- 3. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C.§ 1692k(a)(3) against Defendant;
- 4. Statutory damages of \$4,000.00 for each violation pursuant to N.C.G.S. \$ 58-70-130;
- 5. Actual damages from Defendant for the all damages including emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA violations and North Carolina Law in an amount to be determined at trial for the Plaintiff; and
- 6. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

### TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: September 14, 2011

# Respectfully submitted,

### By \_/s/ Stacie Watson\_

Stacie Watson, Esq. (Bar No.: 23890) Law Office of Stacie Watson. P.O. Box 1412 Apex, North Carolina 27502 Telephone: (919) 522-6128

Facsimile: (919) 439-5308

Email: swatsonattorney@bellsouth.net

Attorneys for Plaintiff

#### Of Counsel To:

LEMBERG & ASSOCIATES L.L.C. 1100 Summer Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Stamford, CT 06905

Telephone: (203) 653-2250 Facsimile: (203) 653-3424